1

2

3

5

4

6 7

CHARLES JOSEPH REEVIS,

SPOKANE COUNTY SUPERIOR COURTS, US DEPARMENT OF

MISCONDUCT DIVISION, US

DISTRICT COURT EASTERN WASHINGTON, SPOKANE

JUSTICE DIRECTOR FBI

COUNTY DETENTION SERVICES, and STATE OF

BEHAVIORAL HEALTH

STATE HOSPITAL,

ADMINISTRATION EASTERN

v.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION -- 1

FILED IN THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

Jul 12, 2021

SEAN F. MCAVOY, CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

NO: 2:21-CV-00070-RMP

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

WASHINGTON DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND HEALTH SERVICES

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

By Order filed May 26, 2021, the Court granted Plaintiff Charles Joseph Reevis thirty days to voluntarily dismiss this action. ECF No. 7. The Court found

that Mr. Reevis's pro se submission, five two-page Standard Form 95 ("SF 95s"),

filed while he was incarcerated at the Spokane County Corrections Center, did not

support a federal tort claim. *Id.* at 4–6. Consequently, Plaintiff's claims were subject to dismissal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. *See McNeil v. United States*, 508 U.S. 106, 110, 113 (1993).

Plaintiff is currently housed at Comprehensive Health Care - Yakima Competency Restoration, and is proceeding *in forma pauperis*, but without the obligation to pay the \$350.00 filing fee for this action. ECF No. 6. Plaintiff did not avail himself of the opportunity to voluntarily dismiss this action.

Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. This action is **DISMISSED without prejudice** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
- 2. Based on the Court's reading of *Hoffmann v. Pulido*, 928 F.3d 1147, 1152 (9th Cir. 2019), this dismissal will NOT count as a "strike" under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).
- 3. This case is **DISMISSED** and **CLOSED**.
- **4.** Judgment of dismissal without prejudice shall be entered for Defendants.

IT IS SO ORDERED. The District Court Clerk is DIRECTED to enter this Order, enter judgment, provide a copy to Plaintiff and CLOSE the file. The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that any appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith and would lack any arguable basis in law or fact.

DATED July 12, 2021.

s/Rosanna Malouf Peterson

ROSANNA MALOUF PETERSON

United States District Judge